



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/832,867

04/12/2001

Shunpei Yamazaki

740756-2294

1394

31780

7590

07/30/2008

ERIC ROBINSON

PMB 955

21010 SOUTHBANK ST.

POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165

EXAMINER

LEWIS, MONICA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2894

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/30/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/832,867	Applicant(s) YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Monica Lewis	Art Unit 2822	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Monica Lewis. (3)_____.

(2) Robert Pilaud. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 09 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,4-10,13 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shohara (U.S. Patent No. 6,238,754), Yamazaki (U.S. Patent No. 6,909,114) and Yamazaki (U.S. Patent No. 5,990,542).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Robert Pilaud wanted to know the relationship of figure 2 and figure 12 in Yamazaki (U.S. 6,909,114). Additionally, Robert Pilaud argued that the resin in Yamazaki (U.S. 6,909,114) does not have the same function as the resin in Shohara.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Monica Lewis/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2822

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required